**PROPOSAL FORM FOR GREEK COORDINATORS**

**OF EDF RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS**

**(All the form fields must be duly filled)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1. Project Title:** | *Full project title*  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2. Lead Entity:** | *Title* |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **3. Participating MS:** | *Country names*  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4. Participating Entities:** | *Entity Titles Per Member State*  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **5. Suitability of Entities:** | *As per Annex B* |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **6. Prime:** | *Num*  | **7. Midcap:** | *Num* | **8. SME EL:** | *Num* | **9. SME MS:** | *Num* | **10. RTO:** | *Num*  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **11. Specific Challenge:** | *This section introduces the project and its strategic importance, by describing the challenges addressed and the problems to be solved (250 words)* |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **12. Scope and Project Objectives:** | *This section gives indications about the thematic scope of the activities to be supported. It provides the objectives and the added value (500 words)*  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **13. Targeted Activities:** | *This section describes the types of the activities to be supported. They should be consistent with those laid down in the relevant EDF WP (250 words)* |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **14. High-Level Functional Requirements:** | *This section describes the High-Level Functional Requirements on the expected results. These requirements should give a clear indication of the expected performances. This can include indications on how the actual results will be assessed against the requirements, if specific testing and evaluation activities are foreseen (500 words)* |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **15. Domains :** | ☐Air ☐Land ☐Maritime ☐Cyber ☐Space ☐Joint |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **16.Type of Actions**  | ☐Research ☐Development☐Disruptiveness |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **17. Project Maturity:** | **TRL IN** | **TRL OUT**  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **18. Estimated Cost - Expected Funding**  | *Reminder: There are bonuses over and above (PESCO, SME participation, Midcaps etc).*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Activities** | **Total Expected Budget [M€]** | **Expected EC Grand [M€]** | **Expected MS Contribution****[M€]** |
| **STUDY** |  |  |  |
| **DESIGN** |  |  |  |
| **PROTO** |  |  |  |
| **TEST** |  |  |  |
| **CER/QAL** |  |  |  |
| **PLM TECH** |  |  |  |
| **SUM** |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **19. Expected impact:** | **Expected impact on the competitiveness of the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base (EDTIB)*** *Describe the expected impact*
* *Assess the added value in terms of the European industry in the sector*
* *Assess the possible impact on SMEs and mid-caps participation*
* *Assess the added value in terms of security of supply for EU and Greece*
 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **20. Description of the action**  | *Provide a short description of the envisaged solution that contains, but not limited to:** *Expected or estimated role of industry in the project (i.e. work packages per industrial partner, Greek entities workshare percentage etc.)*
* *Main milestones planned*
* *Procedures for tracking, analyzing, implementing, and reporting the project.*
* *Per category of actions duration of the project*
* *Possible innovative or disruptive items of the proposal*
* *Possible risks (if any) and how to mitigate them*
* *Possible windows of opportunity that should not be missed*
* *Any other elements deemed necessary to improve the description of the project*

***Optionally the current field could be provided as ANNEX A containing an extended abstract of the solution with further details, analysis, figures etc.*** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **21. POC** | Name:Entity*:* | **22. Contact** | e-mail : telephone*:*  |

**ANNEXES**

**A EXTENDED ABSTRACT OF THE SOLUTION**

**B TECHNICAL AND PROFESSIONAL ABILITY OF ENTITIES**

**NOTE**

**Commercial Sensitive Information**

If assessed by the proposing entity thatcommercial sensitivity exists in the proposal this template could be submitted in print at the premises of the GDDIA. If that is not the case the proposal could be submitted to the functional GDDIA EDF mailbox

dirt.edf@gdaee.mil.gr

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| BLANK PAGE |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

**ANNEX A:**

**EXTEND ABSTRACT OF THE SOLUTION**

This annex provides an extend abstract of the envisaged solution (i.e. technical parameters, figures, drawings, analysis) for the proposal with regard to the relevant EDF call topic.

It would also serve as a basis for presenting the envisaged solution to the interested MS in the process of obtaining co-support and co-funding in development projects.

**Annex A is optional;** however, it could be useful for the contracting authority (Hellenic MoND) to assess the capacity of the proposal to fulfill the existing operational needs.

**NOTE**

**Commercial Sensitive Information**

If assessed by the proposing entity thatcommercial sensitivity exists in the proposal this template could be submitted in print at the premises of the GDDIA. If that is not the case the proposal could be submitted to the functional GDDIA EDF mailbox

dirt.edf@gdaee.mil.gr

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| BLANK PAGE |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

**ANNEX B:**

**TECHNICAL AND PROFESSIONAL ABILITY OF ENTITIES**

This annex contains the necessary information for the entities indicated in field 5 of the main document.

**Profile per Entity:**

**Main Inputs:** Technical Capacity and experience on similar projects or equipment preferably within the last five years, possible quality or work certifications relevant to the nature of the proposed project.

**Optional Input:** Evidence of the ability to handle classified information (project dependent)

**NOTE:** It is recommended not to exceed the length of one page for the profile of each entity.
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